PATENT COOPEDATION TREATY

From the		FATENT COOPE	KATION I KI	SAIY		
INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: DENNIS B. DANIELLA JAECKLE FLEISCHMANN & MUGEL LLP 190 LINDEN OAKS ROCHESTER, NJ 14625			PCT WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY			
				(PCT Rule 43bis.1)		
			Date of mailing (day/month/year)	0 1 OCT 2007		
Applicant's or agent's file reference			FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below			
89843.114503 PCT						
International application No.		International filing date				
PCT/US04/34237 15 October 2004 (International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national clas		15 October 2004 (15.10.		17 October 2003 (17.10.2003)		
		or both national classificat	ion and IPC			
IPC: Please See Continu USPC: 16/388,389;312/29	3.2,315,326,	327,328,329,409;220/810,	845,62.11,88.2;109/	64,58		
Applicant				-		
JOHN D. BRUSH & CO., II	NC.					
This opinion contains indications relating to the following items:						
Box No. 1 Basis of the opinion						
Box No. II Priority						
Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability						
Box No. 1V	Lack of unity of invention					
Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement					
Box No. VI	Certain documents cited					
Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application					
Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application					
2. FURTHER ACTION						
If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining, Authority ("BEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has conflicted the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bia(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered.						
If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 to before the expiration of 25 months from the priority date, whichever expires later.						
For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220.						
3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220.						
Name and mailing address o	f the ISA/ US	Date of complet	ion of this opinion	Authorized officer		
Mail Stop PCT, Attn: Commissioner for Pa		20 July 2007 (2	0.07.2007)	Robert J. Sandy WYW		
P.O. Box t450				Telephone No. 571-272-3600		
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 Facsimile No. (571) 273-3201				Telephone No. 5/1-2/2-3000		
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover she	et) (April 20)5)				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/US04/34237

Box No	o. I Basis of this opinion				
1. With	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
\boxtimes	the international application in the language in which it was filed				
	a translation of the international application into, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (Rules 12.3(a) and 23.1(b)).				
	With regard to any aucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:				
a.	type of material				
	a sequence listing				
	table(s) related to the sequence listing				
ъ.	format of material				
	on paper				
	in electronic form				
c.	time of filing/furnishing				
	contained in the international application as filed.				
	filed together with the international application in electronic form.				
	furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.				
3. 🗌 4. Additi	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(a) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that, in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.				

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/34237

applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement 1. Statement					
1. Statement					
Novelty (N)	Claims NONE	YE			
	Claims 1-19, 21, 22 and 24	NO			
Inventive step (IS)	Claims NONE	YE			
	Claims 1-24	NO			
Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims 1-24	YE:			
	Claims NONE	NO			

2. Citations and explanations:

Please See Continuation Sheet

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Box No. V) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/34237

Supplemental Box

In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

Continuation of IPC:

E05D 7/14(2006.01);A47B 63/00(2006.01)

A47B 88/00(2006.01);E05G 1/02(2006.01);E05D 5/06(2006.01),7/00(2006.01)

V. 2. Citations and Explanations:

V. J. Citations and Explanations:

(Jaims 1-19, 21, 22 and 24 lack novelty under PCT Article 33(2) as being anticipated by Nave et al. (U. S. Patent No. 2,309,001). Nave et al. (101) discloses a hinge (See Figs. 1-3) for a fire-testinant enclosure (10), wherein said enclosure includes a cabinet (10) having internal outer with said (11) and said (11) and said having internal collection (11) and said (11) a

(concerning claims 2, 11, 21 and 24) said hinge allows said door to open approximately 147 degrees relative to the closed position of the door;

(concerning claims 3 and 12) said cabinet end includes a pin (44);

(concerning claims 4 and 13) said third segment is angled approximately 20 degrees below a horizontal plane that is parallel with said first segment; (concerning claims 5 and 14) said fourth segment extends toward a plane defined by said first segment;

(concerning claims 6 and 15) the door includes a jamb stop (54), wherein said door end is fixedly coupled with said jamb stop;

(concerning claims 7 and 16) the hinge is positioned within the internal hinge compartment; (concerning claims 8 and 17) the first segment is generally parallel with the front surface (front surface adjacent to 54) of the door when the door is in the closed position;

when the door is in the crosca position;

(concerning claims 9 and 18) the fourth segment is generally perpendicular with the front surface of the door when the door is in the closed position;

the closed position; (concerning claims 10, 21 and 24) Nove et al. (2001) discloses the fire-resistant enclosure (10), as stated above, comprising the cabinet (20) having the innor and coster wells (11, 13) spaced apart having the insulating material (14) positioned thereberween, aside to have a superior of the control of the control

Form PCT/ISA/237 (Supplemental Box) (April 2005)

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/US04/34237

Supplemental Box

in case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient.

to said first outer edge when said door is in a closed position; the false front coupled with said cabinet, said false front defining an internal hinge compartment; the bracket (43) coupled with said false front; and the hinge (see Figs. 1-3) as stated above; and (concerning claims 19 and 22) a gasket (24) positioned on one of the second outer edge of said doors and the first outer edge of said cabinet; and as rib (23) positioned on the other of said first and second outer edge, wherein said gasket and rib are positioned adjacent to one another when the door is in the closed position.

Claims 1-24, and particularly claims 20 and 23 lack an inventive step under PCT Article 3(3) as being obvious over Nave et al. (001). Nave et al. (001) discloses the claims of invention(s) set of this claims 10 and 22, and further comprising where the first and second outer edges define a gap (gap between 34 and 22) when the door is in a closed position. However, Nave et al. (001) does not describe where in said gap is approximately 0.5 inches. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have recognized, or have provided, a 0.25 inch gap between the first and second outer edges in order to allow the gasket 24 to deform when the door is in the closel position, to thereby adequately set the enclosure.

Claims 1-24 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus they meet industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.